1	TN THE INTERP CHARGE DIGERICA COURT
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION
3	CHARLESION DIVISION
4	RENE CARDOSO,
5	
б	Plaintiff,
7	v. No. 2:16-cv-1058-PMD
8	
9	MITCHELL MECHANICAL, L.L.C.,
10	Defendant.
11	
12	
13	VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF
14	JULIE SAWYER-LITTLE
15	11:00 a.m 1:45 p.m.
16	June 28, 2017
17	Durham, North Carolina
18	Reported By: Joseph C. Spontarelli, CCR
19	A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR. & ASSOCIATES
20	Fast, Accurate & Friendly
21	Charleston, SC Hilton Head, SC Myrtle Beach, SC
22	(843) 722-8414 (843) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376
23	Columbia, SC Greenville, SC Charlotte, NC
24	(803) 731-5224 (864) 234-7030 (704) 573-3919
25	

- and vocational. Dealing specifically with the medical information I assume you obtain copies of medical records.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q You said that you call treaters.
- 6 What treaters have you talked with in
- 7 | connection with this case?
- 8 A I consulted with Dr. Taub and Dr.
- 9 White.

1

2

3

- Q Why did you consult with those two as opposed to any of the others that might have
- 12 been involved?
- 13 A Those are his current providers.
- Q Did you think it was not necessary to talk to any of the other doctors involved in
- 16 this case?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q No you didn't think it was necessary,
- 19 | is that correct?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q And why not?
- 22 A Because there have been no current
- 23 | treatment by those providers. When I looked at
- 24 | the period over the last couple years he
- 25 | continues to be treated primarily by Dr. Taub

Cardoso, Rene v. Julie Sawyer-Little Holder Construction Company, et a June 28, 2017 31 and then has recently started being treated by 1 2 Dr. White. 3 Do you know how he came to be treated O 4 by Dr. White? 5 Can you repeat that? 6 Do you know how it was that he came 0 7 to be treated by Dr. White? 8 Α I don't. 9 We may have to disconnect and 10 reconnect. 11 Are we having problems? 0 12 Α It's not just me. Yes. The court 13 reporter is having difficulty as well. 14 MR. REEVES: That's fine. MR. LAIL: Phil, give us a second. 15 I'm going to get the gal and see if she can get 16 17 the audio better for us. 18 19 (Recess.) 20 21 BY MR. REEVES: 22 Ms. Sawyer-Little, we were talking 23 about the treaters that you had talked with and 24 you mentioned that it was just Dr. Taub and Dr. 25 White, and that you didn't think it was

Cardoso, Rene v. Holder Construction Company, et a Julie Sawyer-Little June 28, 2017

BY MR. REEVES:	
Q Not at all?	
A No. Not in regards to the type of	
work he did, no.	
Q He also indicated that he had a	
fairly good command of the English language but	
admits he's not as strong in reading English.	
Does his inability to read English as well play	
any part in his employability?	
A It potentially would for jobs that	
are less exertional in nature.	
Q Obviously if those jobs exist and he	
can do them then he would be able to work,	
correct?	
MR. LAIL: Object to form.	
THE WITNESS: Ask that one more time.	
BY MR. REEVES:	
Q If there were jobs that were less	
exertional than the job he was doing then by	
definition he would be able to work, correct?	
MR. LAIL: Object to form.	
THE WITNESS: If that was the only	
criteria that we were looking at, yes.	
BY MR. REEVES:	
Q He also gave you some information	
	Q Not at all? A No. Not in regards to the type of work he did, no. Q He also indicated that he had a fairly good command of the English language but admits he's not as strong in reading English. Does his inability to read English as well play any part in his employability? A It potentially would for jobs that are less exertional in nature. Q Obviously if those jobs exist and he can do them then he would be able to work, correct? MR. LAIL: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Ask that one more time. BY MR. REEVES: Q If there were jobs that were less exertional than the job he was doing then by definition he would be able to work, correct? MR. LAIL: Object to form. THE WITNESS: If that was the only criteria that we were looking at, yes. BY MR. REEVES:

	Cardoso, Rene v. Holder Construction Company, et a Julie Sawyer-Little June 28, 2017
1	concerning his work history, and he indicated
2	that he began working with Hartland Resources
3	as an electrician in 2008 and was making \$19 an
4	hour, is that correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q What did you do to verify that
7	information?
8	A In the 2015 report I was provided
9	with the Statement of Compensation from the
10	workers' comp provider.
11	Q You say you were provided. Who
12	provided that to you?
13	A Mr. D'Agata, the attorney in
14	Charlotte.
15	Q Did you do anything to determine how
16	that wage had been calculated?
17	A It was calculated on there. It
18	showed based on his earnings what his weekly
19	and hourly rate was.
20	Q Did you ask for or receive any other
21	documentation from Mr. Cardoso concerning his
22	work history?
23	A I don't believe so.
24	Q Other than the information that you
25	got from the workers' compensation carrier do

2:16-cv-01058-PMD

Page 6 of 6

		65
1	THE WITNESS: I consulted with the	0.5
2	current providers.	
3	BY MR. REEVES:	
4	Q But you didn't consult with all of	
5	them did you?	
6	A No.	
7	Q You have a chart in your report. It	
8	say occupation, hourly rate, annual salary	
9	range. It says electrician. Why did you use	
10	electrician as the occupation that you	
11	surveyed?	
12	A Because my understanding in getting	
13	information from him and also analyzing the	
14	task that he did that he was basically working	
15	as an electrician under a licensed electrician	
16	on-site.	
17	Q The occupation that you looked at	
18	which is electrician, was it a licensed or	
19	unlicensed electrician?	
20	A In the BLS and ESC data it doesn't	
21	designate that.	
22	Q Can you say that again?	
23	A Sure.	
24	In reviewing the Bureau of Labor	
25	Statistics and the North Carolina data it	